

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES  
LITIGATION

Case No. [18-cv-04865-EMC](#)

**ORDER GRANTING BRIDGESTONE'S  
MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS**

Docket No. 201

Previously, the Court granted Defendants' motion for relief from the case schedule (in particular, the motion to dismiss) pending the mandamus proceedings before the Ninth Circuit. *See* Docket No. 203 (order). At the time the Court granted Defendants' motion, Bridgestone (the party who filed the petition for a writ of mandamus with the Ninth Circuit) also had a motion to stay proceedings on file. Upon inquiry from the Court, Bridgestone declined to withdraw its motion to stay, noting that its request for relief was broader than Defendants'. *See also* Reply at 1. Subsequently, the relevant parties filed responses to Bridgestone's motion to stay. No party substantively opposed the motion. *See, e.g.*, Docket No. 207 (response by Defendants) ("For the reasons detailed in defendants' administrative motion . . . , which the Court granted . . . , defendants agree with Bridgestone that it is appropriate to stay this matter pending proceedings in the Ninth Circuit on the issue of lead plaintiff."); Docket No. 208 (response by Mr. Littleton) ("Bridgestone['s] . . . Motion to Stay Proceedings, which sought the identical relief, is moot.").

///

///

///

///


1 Based on the Ninth Circuit proceedings and the lack of any substantive opposition, the  
2 Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion to stay. The hearing on the motion is **VACATED**.

3 This order disposes of Docket No. 201.

4  
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7 Dated: April 15, 2019

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge